

Columbia University: Professional Studies

Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91 %)

1 - What is your overall assessment of this course?

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.82	
Fair	(2)	0	0.00%			
Good	(3)	1	5.88%			
Very Good	(4)	1	5.88%			
Excellent	(5)	15	88.24%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.82	0.53	5.00

2 - What are the course's strengths? In what ways might it be improved? In answering this question, you might address the value of readings and assignments, the structure of the course, the contribution of the course to your knowledge of the subject matter and to the development of your analytical and reasoning skills, etc. We encourage you to use specific examples where possible.

Response Rate	17/23 (73.91%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eddie is amazing- super clear instructor, answers all questions... hits on such important topics! Interesting teaching; Reasonable course arrangement This is hands down one of my favorite courses at Columbia. We learned about corporate finance, performance measures, stocks and portfolio's and the decision making process of corporations. Strengths: Teaching that is related to applying mathematical skills is detailed. Ways can be improved: Homework can be easier and with more guided and hints. the diversity of content the concrete application of mathematical and statistical tools to the world of finance the balance between models, equations, formulas and real-life examples Course is excellent although a little mathy at times which makes sense given the topic. Overall the course was great and all the problem sets helped reiterate class specific material in an effective way and engaging way. The course is designed to be very helpful This course was extremely well put together. The material was presented in a clear, thorough, and thought-provoking way that led to interesting discussions that I feel had immense educational value. The course material both expanded on topics introduced in other economics courses and presented ideas and methods entirely new to me. I also believe the assignments truly helped me further understand the material presented in class. For example, many of the Problem Sets used real-world data from the financial markets and were meant to replicate the processes used in the corporate finance field. The questions also often asked for an explanation that required both analytical and reasoning skills, which helped me make sure I understood what I was doing beyond just a mathematical perspective. Topics were explained clearly, the homework assignments were reasonable in terms of difficulty and were relevant to the course material, and I felt that the structure of the course allowed me to comfortably understand the concepts presented na The course provided a wonderful foundation. I would recommend adding an accounting unit that briefly goes through the main financial statements and how they relate to one another. Great structure! The instructor found the content of the course interesting which made it so much easier to concentrate in class and understand the material. Readings and assignments were great. Really informative and very interesting. One thing, it would have been great to get the solutions of the problem sets before the final. Touching on many aspects of corporate finance that are (a) applicable and (b) fundamental to understanding the economics behind corporate finance. The assignment structure was efficient and tested us on the main topics, which tested our understanding and application of the course as we went through. This course was absolutely incredible. Again, please refer to my review of Eddie below. I purposely completed this out of order because I knew what I wanted to say coming into this. There is an entire host of knowledge, development, analytical/reasoning skills, and value that I gained from this experience. I could type them out specifically here, but they are best utilized for further research, application to other topics/courses as I continue learning/studying, my professional development, etc. These things are certainly important, they just don't even begin to capture the true magnitude of the experience of this course. Additional technical point: Readings (both required and not) were really interesting and helpful to this course / subject. There were some moments when I would have like to have more clearly defined supplemental reading, but there is one - only so much time in the day and two - I still feel like I got more than enough out of this class. It's logic and sequential. It also has strong connection with real life. It helps me to connect the theory with real life easily. For example, we use the real stocks date of Apple and other companies to calculate the WACC, CAPM, NPV and so on. It deeply improves my view of corporate finance. This course is really engaging and I learnt a lot of useful knowledge about finance and management. 	

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

The learning goals and structure were made apparent to me.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.94	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	1	5.88%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	16	94.12%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.94	0.24	5.00

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
 ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91%)

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

The course materials (readings, videos, handouts) helped me achieve the course's learning goals

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.76	
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	1	5.88%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	88.24%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.76	0.75	5.00

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

The learning activities (discussions, live sessions, projects, group work) helped me achieve the course's learning goals.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		5.00	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	100.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				5.00	0.00	5.00

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

Course assignments (exams, quizzes, papers) helped me to achieve the course's learning goals.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.71	
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%			
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	15	88.24%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.71	0.85	5.00

3 - Please respond the following course-related statements.

I would recommend this course to another student.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		5.00	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	0	0.00%			
Strongly Agree	(5)	17	100.00%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				5.00	0.00	5.00

Columbia University: Professional Studies

Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91 %)

4 - What did you learn - in terms of knowledge, skills or perspectives - in this course?

Response Rate	17/23 (73.91%)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • all important terms related to corp finance- • CAPM, variance, covariance, agency problems, MM theory • My perspective on how corporations are (or should) run has been fine tuned. I am also better able to understand news reports on the market and business a lot better after this course • Financial concept: How to find a profitable project Mathematical skills: derivatives and series • how to use the CAPM model Modigliani and Miller's theory the efficient market hypothesis NPV / IRR etc • How to value different methods of funding projects in a company. A deeper understanding of corporations especially regarding financial planning • I learned why corporate executives make the financial decisions they do and how they can best provide returns to shareholders. • entry level finance knowledge and senses • I learned about rational expectations, Capital asset pricing model, discovering optimal portfolio allocation, Net present value, Weighted average cost of capital, Internal rate of return. We also learned theory behind the differing views of the efficient market hypothesis and those widely held in behavioral finance. • How to read various types of graphs, how to analyze problems from both a mathematical and theoretical perspective, and how to apply technical skills to real-world situations • net present value, present value, • Bonds, net present value, stocks, how to select a project/portfolio, CAPM, how firms finance themselves (debt/equity), efficiency of markets. • I learned a lot. I learned about the inner workings of firms, NPV, risk and return, CAPM, Modigliani-Miller, Agency and market efficiency. I learned how these are used in real life and both mathematical and theoretical aspects of these concepts. • The "super-topics" of corporate finance: present value, risk and return dynamic in asset value (relation to market demand), stock value, bonds, simulating portfolios based on weight and finding the most efficient returns weight ratio, efficient frontier, agent problem, principal-agent problem, project evaluation and methods to evaluate whether a project should be selected. • I'm not even trying to be facetious, I truly learned so many different things in this course that putting it into words here would take days. Please refer to my evaluation of Eddie below which really encapsulates the core essence of this and the value that it had for me. • I know how to analyze a company by easy math model. And also get some deep understanding about firms. • All the formulas that we learnt are really helpful for the future uses, and we also read a lot of interesting articles. 	

5 - How many hours a week did you devote to this course? (Note: Please include all time spent on this class outside of class time - readings, assignments, studying, etc.)

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
0-2	(1)	0	0.00%			<p>3.53</p>		
2-4	(2)	1	5.88%	█				
4-6	(3)	8	47.06%	██████████				
6-8	(4)	6	35.29%	██████				
8+	(5)	2	11.76%	██				
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
17/23 (73.91%)				3.53	0.80	3.00		

6 - What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the instructor?

Edward Shore								
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%			<p>4.82</p>		
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%	█				
Good	(3)	0	0.00%					
Very good	(4)	0	0.00%					
Excellent	(5)	16	94.12%	████████████████████				
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
17/23 (73.91%)				4.82	0.73	5.00		

Columbia University: Professional Studies

Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91 %)

7 - Please evaluate your faculty instructor. What are the instructor's strengths? In what ways might their teaching be improved? In answering this question, you might address the clarity of the lectures or presentations and their relationship to the other elements of the course, the ability of the instructor to generate enthusiasm and facilitate discussion, the quality of feedback, availability, the timeliness of the return of assignments, etc.

Edward Shore

Response Rate	17/23 (73.91%)
----------------------	----------------

- Edward is super nice instructor and super dynamic!
- Good and responsible.
- Eddie is smart, experienced, and takes complex terms and concepts and makes them digestible. He used real-world examples as well as the text to explain corporate finance. He is also very approachable and sincerely interested in students learning and development. He broke down the math so we could intuitively understand .
- Explanation for maths Guiding for problem set
- excellent teacher very knowledgeable and approachable managed to make finance interesting with real-life and at times personal examples gave out psets that really helped us understand and apply the course material appreciated enthusiasm at every class clear explanations (especially the statistics concepts that I had forgotten!) nice and quick feedback
- Excellent instructor who is extremely knowledgeable and cares deeply about his students. An asset to the Economics Phd program. Might be the bestinstructor thus far in Columbia
- Professor Shore was hands down one of the best professors I have had the pleasure to learn from at Columbia. His knowledge of the subject material and the infectious energy he brought to class each and everyday made the whole learning experience.
- One of the best instructors I've met so far! Very dedicated and responsible
- The course instructor, Edward Shore, presented the material clearly and was very engaging. The instructor encouraged participation and questions which led to many valuable discussions that really enhanced the learning experience. Edward often included interesting examples in the lectures that were often backed up with data directly related to the material being studied and that made the presentations understandable and intriguing. The course was early in the morning and yet there was always enthusiastic participation among the class members. Edward has truly been one of my favorite instructors I have had throughout my time in school.
- One of the best instructors I've had so far - very approachable, always eager to review and re-explain complicated material, strove to make the class interactive and interesting, open to feedback and committed to giving students the most positive classroom experience possible.
- Great professor.
- I can confidently say that this has been one of my favorite economics classes I have taken at Columbia, no small part of this is due to Eddie. It is incredibly rare to wake up in the summer and actively look forward to sitting in a classroom, however this was a sentiment that I along with many peers had in this class. Eddie is enthusiastic, organized, approachable, knows how to explain difficult concepts, and above all cares about each and every one of his students. I found myself extremely excited about the material because I was energized by Eddie's enthusiasm. I already miss his lectures and wish this had been a full length semester.
- Excellent instructor!! The instructor found the subjects interesting himself and understood the class so well. He made sure to interact with every student which is very well appreciated. He remembered all of our names very quickly. He encouraged useful participation with students and was an overall incredible instructor. I am honored to have had my first class at Columbia with him as a instructor.
- An amazing instructor! From the presentation of the material including collaborative, hands on activities, where the class would actively engage and come out learning something fundamental first-hand, to including a guest speaker in finance to give us more insight and the opportunity to ask questions. Every lecture began with a clear objective, and his lectures were organized, but also anecdotal at times to illustrate real-life dynamics of these topics. The set of topics came across very clearly, he is very good at answering questions to the point, such that you left the class understanding. Most notably, his enthusiasm for this course brought the lectures to life, and overall as an instructor, he cared very much to enhance our learning experience as much as possible. I appreciate that.
- Eddie, or as we will all soon be referring to him, Professor Shore (sorry not sorry) is absolutely incredible as a teacher and as an economics/finance scholar. Not only is he passionate about teaching and the subject(s) he is teaching, which enriches the student experience ten fold, he is also clearly brilliant. On one hand, it should be no surprise that someone as accomplished and well educated as Eddie is brilliant. How else would he be in this position? At the same time, it is a rare and unique combination of skill sets/qualities for someone to be clearly active, invested, and well-executed in their research as well as passionate about and capable of teaching not just well, but also interactively. Over the duration of this course, I was taken on an educational journey - beginning with navigating many of the different aspects, principals, and theories of corporate finance/economics. This was already satisfactory for me, as I could feel my knowledge of corporate finance growing and expanding at a rate and to a degree that felt appropriate. Then, as this course progressed, we explored (necessary) pivots and restructuring of these topics / frameworks which is where I began to truly be blown away. In attempting to maximize my intake and retention of knowledge through the means of study that worked best for me, I invested heavily in my note-taking and supplemental reading. Then, there came a point where both for the sake of growing my knowledge and in pure awe of Eddie's gifts / expertise, I couldn't help but just sit back and listen to him speak. There would be those natural times when a student (I) might feel compelled to interrupt with a question (which I had no hesitation doing) however, that would be overpowered by the feeling and understanding that I would not want to divert his instruction for even a minute because I trust that I will be better off following the learning path that he lays forth. Now coming to the end of this course, I can surely say that was the right decision. Honestly, my biggest complaint about the class would be that I wish the semester was longer - which of course is an external factor beyond his control. What I'm saying is that being educated in this course by Eddie has been a true treat because I was able to be exposed to the mere fraction of knowledge and expertise he has. Any department/subject would be lucky to have Eddie as a researcher and instructor as would any student of those teachings. One thing you can be sure of is that Eddie will bring that his extensive knowledge, passion, and that (almost unteachable) quality of teaching to his instruction - a truly special and worthwhile experience. (Appendix: Lectures are very clear. These are broad and complex topics that require time, background, context, and structure to understand. Of course ask questions along the way, but anyone can and should trust this process. Eddie is absolutely enthusiastic and that enthusiasm is infectious which makes for a great class experience and certainly generates positive discussion.)
- Dr. shore is very enthusiastic. I can feel he devotes plenty of efforts in this course. He will design some well-designed game in the course to help us understanding the market. I think it's a good way to let us involve in it and feel the market instead of just telling the theory to us. Also, he is good at explaining the concepts and math details.
- He is a great instructor, and I enjoyed taking his class a lot.

**Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B**

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91%)

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.						
The instructor's teaching methods helped me achieve the course's learning goals.						
Edward Shore						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	1	5.88%			
Strongly agree	(5)	16	94.12%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.94	0.24	5.00

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.						
The instructor was knowledgeable in the subject area.						
Edward Shore						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	1	5.88%			
Strongly agree	(5)	16	94.12%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.94	0.24	5.00

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.						
The instructor encouraged useful participation and collaboration with fellow students through discussion and other activities.						
Edward Shore						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%			
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	2	11.76%			
Strongly agree	(5)	15	88.24%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.88	0.33	5.00

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
 ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91%)

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.						
I understood how the instructor graded my assignments.						
Edward Shore						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.65	
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%			
Agree	(4)	1	5.88%			
Strongly agree	(5)	14	82.35%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.65	0.86	5.00

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.						
The instructor provided timely and useful feedback on my assignments.						
Edward Shore						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.53	
Disagree	(2)	2	11.76%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	2	11.76%			
Strongly agree	(5)	13	76.47%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.53	1.01	5.00

8 - Please respond to the following instructor-related statements.						
The instructor was available via email and/or office hours for one-on-one consultation.						
Edward Shore						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	5.88%		4.59	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%			
Agree	(4)	1	5.88%			
Strongly agree	(5)	14	82.35%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.59	1.06	5.00

9 - What is your overall assessment of the effectiveness of the teaching assistant?						
Wenqian Li						
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Poor	(1)	0	0.00%		4.29	
Fair	(2)	1	5.88%			
Good	(3)	3	17.65%			
Very good	(4)	3	17.65%			
Excellent	(5)	10	58.82%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.29	0.99	5.00

**Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B**

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91 %)

10 - Please evaluate your teaching assistant. What are the TA's strengths? In what ways might their teaching be improved? In answering this question, you might address the clarity of the lectures or presentations and their relationship to the other elements of the course, the ability of the TA to generate enthusiasm and facilitate discussion, the quality of feedback, availability, the timeliness of the return of assignments, etc.

Wenqian Li

Response Rate 17/23 (73.91%)

- I did not really see the TA the whole session.
- Good and responsible
- Wenqian was always available to help with this course or another subject matter in econ if I had a question
- Supportive teaching More explanation on problem set marking
- good and approachable TA
- Can't say due to lack of interaction except for one email in which she answered my questions perfectly.
- Was a good and fair TA.
- Very responsible
- Wenqian Li, was clear, helpful, and attentive and truly helped me with understanding some concepts from the lecture.
- No complaints - honestly did not have much interaction
- na
- Wonderful, very accessible!
- N/A
- *For this course, I happened to mainly reach out directly to the professor/ instructor for questions, thus I am not able to give feedback to the TA*
- I felt that Wenqian was a wonderful addition to the course. I appreciated the detailed and technical approach to grading. I may have wanted slightly more extensive / explanatory feedback on assignments but this was really marginal. Great job!
- Mrs. Li is patient. She also responds quickly with detailed explanation.
- She is helpful with the questions that we had.

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA's teaching methods helped me achieve the course's learning goals.

Wenqian Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.50	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%	█		
Agree	(4)	3	17.65%	██		
Strongly agree	(5)	6	35.29%	████		
N/A	(0)	7	41.18%	██████		
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.50	0.71	5.00

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA was knowledgeable in the subject area.

Wenqian Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		4.58	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%	█		
Agree	(4)	3	17.65%	██		
Strongly agree	(5)	8	47.06%	██████		
N/A	(0)	5	29.41%	████		
				0 25 50 100	Question	
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.58	0.67	5.00

Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
 ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91 %)

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA encouraged useful participation and collaboration with fellow students through discussion and other activities.

Wenqian Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	5.88%		4.10	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%			
Agree	(4)	3	17.65%			
Strongly agree	(5)	5	29.41%			
N/A	(0)	7	41.18%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.10	1.29	4.50

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

I understood how the TA graded my assignments.

Wenqian Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	5.88%		4.15	
Disagree	(2)	1	5.88%			
Uncertain	(3)	0	0.00%			
Agree	(4)	4	23.53%			
Strongly agree	(5)	7	41.18%			
N/A	(0)	4	23.53%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.15	1.28	5.00

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA provided timely and useful feedback on my assignments.

Wenqian Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means	
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	5.88%		4.31	
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%			
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%			
Agree	(4)	3	17.65%			
Strongly agree	(5)	8	47.06%			
N/A	(0)	4	23.53%			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)				4.31	1.18	5.00

**Columbia University: Professional Studies
Summer Session 2022 Evaluations - Session B**

Course: ECONS4280_001_2022_2-CORPORATEFINANCE: Corporate Finance: ECONS4280-
ECONS4280_001_2022_2 - CORPORATE FINANCE

Instructor: Edward Shore *

TA: Wenqian Li

Response Rate: 17/23 (73.91 %)

11 - Please respond to the following teaching assistant-related statements.

The TA was available via email and/or office hours for one-on-one consultation.

Wenqian Li

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly disagree	(1)	1	5.88%	■	<p>4.31</p>
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Uncertain	(3)	1	5.88%	■	
Agree	(4)	3	17.65%	■	
Strongly agree	(5)	8	47.06%	■	
N/A	(0)	4	23.53%	■	
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate			Mean	STD	Median
17/23 (73.91%)			4.31	1.18	5.00